

Application Number 10/583,011
Response to Restriction Requirement of May 22, 2007
Reply to Office Action of April 27, 2007

REMARKS/ARGUMENT

The Applicants respond to the restriction requirement under 35 U.S.C. § 121 of the Office Action of April 27, 2007. Claims 1 through 19 are pending in the application.

The Examiner applied a restriction requirement under 35 U.S.C. § 121 and § 372 and divided the claims into two groups. The applicants elect the claims of Group I and Compound 33 of the Table of Compounds with traverse and request reconsideration.

The general formula of claim 1 with the recited substituents provides a significant structural element qualifying as the special technical feature that defines a contribution over the prior art. Therefore, the Applicants believe that the unity of their invention is maintained and request withdrawal of the restriction requirement.

The Examiner on page 4 of the Official Action at lines 17 and 18 requests an election of one process of preparing. It is believed that this request is an error. If not, the Applicants elect with traverse the process of claim 16 for compound 33 of the Table of Compounds.

In view of the foregoing, it is submitted that this application is in condition for allowance. Favorable consideration is requested.

Respectfully submitted,



Paul Grandinetti
Registration No. 30,754
OSTROLENK, FABER, GERB & SOFFEN, LLP
1180 Avenue of the Americas
New York, New York 10036-8403
Telephone (212) 382-0700